

Introduction	1
History	2
Status & Commentary.....	3
Reminders of documented facts.....	4
Warnings !	6
Q & A	7
Your Options	8
Radius Capital & Trust KB	9
Strategic Capital Services Ltd.	9
Radius Holdings Group Ltd.	9
Zurich Management Group Inc.....	9

Introduction

This document is intended to provide clarification on many issues related to: Radius Capital & Trust KB, Strategic Capital Services Ltd. and the Leveraged Trust Program. It is not intended to be a comprehensive detail of all related matters, facts and documents. Other, independent documents stand on their own and speak for themselves. This is created for the sole use of existing participants and no other.

The desired result of this communication is that it would remove much confusion and speculation over what is going on related to the business. It will hopefully provide clarity on various levels related to our mutual interests. Nothing in here should be construed as legal or financial advice. We are merely addressing various facts and questions for the purpose of achieving clarity on current and future status of the program and its participants. This document only reflects the perspective of the business managers which includes an 'opinion' and documented hands on experience which is being shared with you. Don't make it out to be more than that and please don't read into it things that are not there.

Note: it is very important that you take the time to read this entire document to the end. Failure to do so may cause problems for you if you are not 'in sync' with what's going on. Also, please be aware that this is extremely abbreviated, summarised and paraphrased, so don't be looking to poke holes in this narrative 'because an update said something' not mentioned here or something doesn't 'jibe' exactly the way you think it should. Take it for face value.

History

The LTP program was created as a business funding mechanism for astute business managers, sophisticated investors and experienced financial managers. It was never intended for unsophisticated, inexperienced people with little to no knowledge or experience in business or finance. Thus the entry level of \$100k plus. With this in mind, a complex and very powerful business management mechanism (Rudius Capital & Trust) was provided to be the backbone to help manage the 'business opportunity' being brought forward by Strategic Capital Services, Ltd. These are two separate entities and functions altogether. More on that later.

The key to this business, as it was designed, was the 'leverage' which was to be provided by a man named Bob Adams of Renaissance Capital of Westlake Village, California. (hereafter 'RC'). RC has access to very large accounts in Credit Suisse in Zurich which would be available to us by contract to be used in any 'risk free' manner for the business we were seeking. The business we were seeking had various mechanisms to use those funds 'risk free' to secure the trade contracts we sought. We have seen his bank account statements and tear sheets with current dates and signed by bank officers. We knew these funds were real but did not belong to RC but to a partner.

We ('we' being Rudius Holdings Group Ltd. (RHG) as trustee for the business trust and for SCS Ltd , and towards the end of our relationship with RC, several others in the same position as ours were collaborating together) had been working with Bob for over a year and a half seeking to secure the contracts we knew were available.

RHG initially went directly to a very well established trader whom we had, and still have, a very close relationship with. In this initial attempt, technical issues in the security mechanism drew out the negotiations and patience finally wore thin where ultimately personality differences and tensions killed the deal.

We moved on to another very legitimate opportunity and again, negotiations broke down. This was the beginning of a pattern which we didn't recognise until later on. The pattern was, that Bob would always find a reason NOT to do a deal.

Our funds, 4% of the first amount committed and 1% thereafter, were placed with Bob as a good faith commitment, to be disbursed back to us upon first profits of the trade contract. RC was only contracted for 1% monthly fee on the funds used and 99% of the profits were ours to manage.

Bob and RC were operating from the USA, which of course is highly regulated. Agreements were subject to California law, not some God forsaken place like 'Zimbabwe'. We initially, conducted initial transactions through a registered attorney's escrow account where Bob and RC then performed according to agreement in providing the bank tear sheet from Credit Suisse in Zurich for the first trade opportunity and he proved himself in that regard. SCS Ltd./RHG was very cautious about this and felt that the due diligence was sufficient.

Fast forward...Boiling about a 2 year story into a few paragraphs here, towards the end of our relationship with RC, we ultimately discovered that the door was being closed on us in most cases simply because Bob and RC had previously been blacklisted from this arena due to SEC issues he had many years ago, plus a lawsuit some unhappy clients filed against him just recently. When the doors close to an opportunity due to being on the 'blacklist', it is never disclosed. The doors just close.

Bottom line, Bob knew he couldn't get us into a contract if he wanted to. He knew it and never disclosed it and we kept banging our heads chasing contracts. Our funds were with him and he had no intention of returning them or making good on the spirit and intent of our business.

This is fraud.

Bottom line: We gave Bob one chance, just recently, to structure a deal where he wouldn't be signatory (and it could be done) and get us into a trade contract for no additional capital deposit... or else.

We've been pressuring him. He's called our bluff and has finally refused. Our group, and about 4 other clients of his that we know of, are working together to bring civil and criminal (racketeering) charges, among many others against him if he doesn't heed one last call we will make for return of our funds plus damages. An attorney has already been engaged to prepare the case for prosecutors on the criminal side and seek compensation for civil damages as well.

Not a happy story but it is what it is.

Those legal wheels are turning and efforts are underway to recover the funds sent to Bob. That story is not yet complete.

Status & Commentary

As we were starting to get the bad news about Bob and RC, you know the conversations we've had with with Andre. Andre has significant experience dealing with the 'creme de la creme' of the financial world and has his own activities working in this arena as you now know. He knows of our situation with Bob, and he was (and still is) prepared to bail us out from our problem with Bob, from the profits on one deal in particular that he has been working on for some time in China. He has no obligation to do this. It is purely based on his good will and our good relationship.

He was initially supposed to have profits disbursed on that January 08, the beginning of this year 2014. From the disbursement to us, would be enough left over for us to roll into a new, very small program, which would get us on track to achieving our profit targets as outlined in your paperwork.

His deal involves, among others, the 'elders' and families of China, various Central Banks and various political entities to remain unnamed. Needless to say, this is not a deal where a couple of guys get together over a beer, shake hands and say 'let's go!'.

It is very sensitive with many international and political 'soft spots' which need to be managed. Let's just say that there have been 'issues' which have caused the delay on profit disbursements.

Our current situation in Hong Kong is related to that very same deal. It 'is' the very same deal. The man who we've referred to as 'H' is named 'Harold'. H is fully credentialed by 'The Fed' and has amazing contacts in China.

Our deal with Harold 'H', was to provide some expense money to get him to Hong Kong to allow him to 'free up' this stalled transaction and get us paid. This was mid February of this year when he went to Hong Kong. Initial reports were very positive and we were expecting payment mid March.

From what we understand the deal was done and initial disbursements were made to level one recipients. Our funds were to come from a Private Swiss Bank with branches in Hong Kong and New York among others. Harold informed us that funds were disbursed to the bank in New York and would be sent to us from there. Anytime anything goes through the US, it makes me nervous for various reasons, the Patriot Act being just one of many. But H said he had all the clearances to make things work.

From the beginning he was having difficulties with the trade bank auditors in Hong Kong as they need to know where every penny goes and that it is not misappropriated 'from' the intended projects and disclosed recipients. There were initial objections to 'us' being in the 'pay line'. We were a 'private arrangement' with 'H' and had nothing to do with the project. He worked around those issues and said that he had it solved.

We then received word that there would be no further movement of funds and also there would be a moratorium on communications with any third parties not involved with the project (us included). This information was corroborated by other parties involved with Andre on the Chinese side. This was when communications with H became very scant and almost non-existent.

I am in regular contact with Andre. Andre knows more than he is telling me because of highly sensitive information and insecure communication channels. (anybody heard of the NSA?) Andre has his back channels of communication with 'other' business on the China side in addition to this business, which he is also waiting on himself.

Andre remains highly confident and he emphasises that we will be paid but he says that it will be linked to other world events which are pending and very close. If you are following our updates, you know what we are referring to. Understanding the sensitivity of the high level we're dealing on, the players involved, the multi-jurisdictional nature of the transactions and you can draw your own conclusions. This brings us to today, where we are now. (a very, very long story, short) .

Reminders of Documented Facts

Before you entered this business you were presented with training and educational videos which went into quite a bit of detail about how the business trust and management system works. The documents you signed off on were also very explicit and thorough about the details involved and they included various disclaimers and notices about 'how things worked' and what you were setting up in terms of a business management system. Additionally, there were various detailed outlines and descriptions about how you would interact with the various entities involved. And to put the icing on the cake, you were presented with a manual of over 380 pages which contains simple, layman's examples of how this trust animal works and the innumerable legal references which support it.

What you have in the business trust and business management system as administered by RCT and RHG as trustee, is simply the most powerful asset protection and business management system available today. Many people are missing the boat on that.

One successful consultant who taught us the ropes on this business (the author of the manual you have) was selling a package of these same trust vehicles, with the training to use them, for \$10,000 and this was in the '80's. And he didn't have near the business infrastructure and account management that you do!

You paid 'nothing' up front for the trust. Yet some people have complained about the monthly admin charges. We suggest that you use the trust business system for other purposes and make it work for you.

This is the business of RADIUS Capital & Trust KB --- Business Administration!

RADIUS Capital & Trust has NOTHING TO DO WITH THE INVESTMENTS OR BUSINESS YOU GET INTO AS AGENT OF THE TRUST!!!! **You have signed off** on this in your paperwork so please don't accuse RCT of being involved in any form of investment business.

As the designated 'Agent' for the 'Trustee' of the business trust, which you help manage, any business the trust gets into was **'Your Suggestion'** to the trustee. Rudius Capital & Trust KB has nothing to do with how you manage 'your' business as representative of the trust!

Please don't complain to, or about, Rudius Capital & Trust KB for any unfortunate events related to the business which 'YOU' have chosen to get into! Some people are doing this and it's WRONG! More on this later.

The LTP has been set up as a business arrangement apart from Rudius Capital & Trust KB. The share subscription agreement you signed confirms that you have requested to have the business trust participate in this business which is offered by STRATEGIC CAPITAL SERVICES Ltd.

SCS Ltd. is a separate legal entity from RCT and/or RHG and it has different directors and domicile. And as a reminder, the private memorandum of offering, which was attached to your SCS Lt. share subscription agreement goes into explicit detail on all aspects of the business including numerous disclaimers that share value can go up or down as business conditions dictate. You went into this with your eyes open.

Now, let's back up a step to when the trust was created.

You or your company, made an exchange into the trust. The exchange was x amount of funds, for x certificate units of the trust. Remember?

Remember what you are entitled to as 'certificate unit holder' ?

Yes, 'Distributions of Profits'.

And when do distributions occur?

- a) when profits are available, and then
- b) at the trustees discretion.

Again from your training.... The corpus of the trust is owned in 'fee simple' by the trustee. 'You' or 'Your Company' no longer have any claim to those funds. This is the strength of the asset protection feature of this arrangement. Should you get sued or legally attacked in any way, the trust corpus is untouchable by any court anywhere unless the attack happens within a period of limitations for wilful creditor fraud when you transferred those funds initially. But absent that, those funds are untouchable and unreportable, BECAUSE THEY AREN'T YOURS ANYMORE!!

If you are thinking properly, you understand the value in this.

Some people don't understand it and 'freak out' once they do. This is the basic 'tenet' of 'asset protection': "you can't lose what's not yours!" Your manual explains the value of the concept of 'Living like a King, while being a Pauper on Paper!'

But here's the key for some people out there who are causing problems....

You agreed to the exchange in the very beginning. You no longer have any right, title or claim to those funds, period! That was the arrangement before you even did any business from the trust platform!! And any rabble rousing you do to cause problems for your introducing parties or anyone involved along the way, is only going to backfire in your face. More on that in the 'Warning's' section.

There are one or more cases where a trust agent simply did not sign a subscription agreement with SCS Ltd. In that case, when you went online in the system and made the 'transfer' online from the

'Business Trust' account to the 'LTP Account' to initiate the business, your log-in and IP were recorded on this transaction (all activity is recorded permanently for security) and this is the record of your wilful act of entering into the LTP business arrangement of your own accord.

Now for those of you who are still not well versed in asset protection strategies or this particular one specifically, there is no need to be nervous about this.

- a) all parties involved have been bending over backwards maintaining communications with you to keep you informed.
- b) As you can see, there have been ongoing efforts to resolve the financial situation using various means and 'other resources' and those efforts will continue for as long as it takes to succeed.
- c) This program was created to help people. the intent is still intact and will be until we have a successful conclusion.
- d) communications will continue during the interim.

You don't need to be a law student to understand that this is the definition of a 'good faith effort' to make the best out of whatever situation presents itself.

Others who call Rudius 'crooks' don't recognise the *good faith* and fail to recognise that crooks will take the money and run. They don't stick around to talk about it! Enough said on that.

'Promises' versus 'Targets': some people have accused one or more parties of making 'promises' of 1000% return. Let's put this straight. The managers involved know well enough NEVER to make promises of ANY KIND OF RETURNS! Please refer to your documentation which states explicitly that there are certain 'profit targets' which we strive to achieve. The difference is HUGE.

Next, there have been people well let's just say this, out of the handful of troublemakers out there, they are the very first ones to embarrass themselves by putting on full display, a complete lack of comprehension of the details which they originally accepted and agreed to and signed off on saying that they 'understood' it when in fact, they demonstrate in their public complaints that they 'don't'. Enough said.

In this regard, all we can say is 'Please read your documents again' and be sure you have a grasp of the details before running off at the mouth. You'll be doing yourself a favor.

Warnings!

This would be a good time to follow up on that last point. There have been a couple of loudmouth troublemakers on our client list who have taken to the public forums or elsewhere to complain about things which they clearly don't understand.

First of all, and most importantly above everything else, THERE IS A PRIVACY CLAUSE IN YOUR TRUST INDENTURE DOCUMENT which you are bound to as Agent for the trust. This is a contractual obligation.

Bringing the private business of the parties involved out into public discourse is a **grave violation** of the privacy rights of the parties involved, it is a BREACH OF CONTRACT!

Let's discuss that from a couple of angles:

- A) If in fact, the parties involved are continually working and communicating with you in good faith to resolve these issues for your benefit, why would you want to cause problems and be antagonistic to the point of destroying whatever good faith exists? The trustee already owns all

rights, title and interest to the corpus of the trust and your only claim is 'rights to distributions' **should** the trustee declare such distributions. Do you really want to antagonise the trustee? It doesn't seem so smart does it? But then if you don't believe anything you hear, you would do it anyway and then your harmful and destructive misplaced accusations and actions become a self fulfilling prophecy, don't they?

- B) Any breach of contract usually involves damages. Please read the trust document again and specifically look for the trustee's responsibility as it relates to paying all debts and obligations from the corpus of the trust, prior to liquidating the trust and distributing the remaining corpus. Remember the corpus belongs to the trustee and the trustee is responsible, not you!
- C) If you are causing damages, what would you still expect in terms of a distribution? Just something to think about.
- D) If your behaviour is contrary to the interests of the trust, and/or the trustee, your appointment as 'Agent' can be revoked with the stroke of a pen. Then what? You have just gone off half cocked and shot yourself in the foot!

Let's put it this way... we know who the loudmouths are. We know where your posts are on the internet. We know what you are doing to cause trouble. All we can suggest is that you re-think your approach (in the next day or two) before the appropriate action is taken by the trustee. Whatever happens, you can be assured that the trustee will keep you informed of his actions (even though you haven't informed him of yours). Enough on that.

Q & A

Regarding Strategic Capital Services Ltd. Memorandum of Offering:

Q: 'But they said....', or "But I read...."

A: Page 2 of the Memorandum states explicitly in the 'Notice': "**No person is authorized to make any representations concerning the Fund or its shares** which are inconsistent with those contained in this Offering Memorandum. Violations will be dealt with in the harshest possible terms." In other words, anything you might be relying on which is not contained in the memorandum is notwithstanding.

Q: 'But I was promised 'x' returns in 'z' time frame:

A: See 'promises' vs. 'targets' explained above. Plus: The Memorandum states explicitly, several times over in several locations in the document

**THERE CAN BE NO ASSURANCES THAT STRATEGIC CAPITAL SERVICES LTD.
WILL ACHIEVE ITS INVESTMENT OBJECTIVE.**

**THE PRICE OF THE SHARES OF THE FUND FORMING THIS OFFER MAY GO DOWN
AS WELL AS UP AFTER SUBSCRIBING.**

Q: I have a Request for Redemption pending. When will that be taken care of?

A: As soon as the fund is liquid enough to honor the request. It is not, right now. The bulk of funds are tied up with Bob and RC and others have been applied to the various means of resolution and recovery in addition to operations along the way. Read the section on 'Suspension or delay of payment' relative to liquidity on page 24.

Q: I am considering submitting a request for redemption, I need the funds, what should I do?

A: Submit your request. Under normal conditions, it will be handled in the order it was received. Should the requests represent a large percentage of shareholders, then in that instance all requests

will be handled simultaneously across the board in a pro-rated manner so that all requests are honoured appropriately.

Q: When will there be a valuation date?

A: When the 'intended business' actually starts functioning and profits are being realized. So far we have not reached that point.

Q: What about the books? What do they reflect?

A: The books are submitted to full professional audit every year. The fiscal year ends March 31. The last complete audit was done for the year ending March 31, 2013. The fiscal year ended this year on March 31 and they have not yet begun the audit process for FYE 2014.

Q: What will happen when/if payment finally comes through from the pending business?

A: This will largely be determined by the final amount received. We have an 'agreed upon amount', but due to the suffering that we all are incurring on these delays, it is highly likely that the amount 'could be' increased. If Andre is ultimately successful with the business he has on the table, and we expect that he will be, then it will likely be handled in the following manner;

- 1) all redemption requests will be honoured first.
- 2) a sufficient amount will be set aside as working capital to allow us to achieve our targets in the shortest time frame possible. If Andre is successful, again, we'll be able to ride his shirt tails on the business he is doing to achieve our targets. Remember, we are a 'gnat on the elephant's ass' in terms of relative weight of our business versus Andre or others available to us.
- 3) remaining funds will be disbursed as profits to remaining shareholders as called for in the subscription agreement.

Your Options

#1 Redemption of SCS Ltd. Shares

At this time, due to the events previously mentioned above, and the time and expense that has transpired in operations pursuing resolution, SCS Ltd. does not have the liquidity to honor redemption requests as of July 15, 2014. They are working hard at resolving that. All previously submitted redemption requests will be honoured in the order that they were received as liquidity allows or as otherwise mentioned above. All affected parties will be kept informed.

#2 Use the Business Trust productively for other purposes.

#3 Civil Action

Who are you going to sue? Who is your contract with? What is your standing to bring an action? Suing to return funds which aren't yours anymore? You got what you contracted for, a 'certificate unit'. Contract complete! Any civil action will be fruitless. This should be good news to you if you can benefit from asset protection.

#4 Criminal Action

You will need to be able to prove 'criminal intent'. Any business which is yet not complete, or even if it *has* 'gone bad' is not 'criminal' by nature. Use your own judgment there.

#5 Complain on the internet forums

When we do have liquidity and/or profits as planned, this will most likely put you on the 'outside looking in', as the trustee has little patience to deal with troublemakers who are ignorant of the facts and working 'counterproductively'. See '**Warnings**' section above. If you do have one or

more of these posts floating out there, if you have any hopes for the future with this, you had better have them removed promptly. If not, then you only have *yourself to blame* when the sun rises on our business and bank accounts and you have excommunicated yourself! This is your 'Grace'.

#6 Support the effort and pray for those involved and for our success.

There is no doubt that we are involved in the ultimate battle with the principalities of darkness as they control the purse strings of the world and are fighting against their release for good causes which I know we and many of you are behind. Some will scoff at me for saying this point but I don't care. I care more about my relationship with God than I do my reputation with non-believers. Take it for what it's worth.

The information contained in this 'review' consists of **private and confidential information** derived from the various sources involved. This is a private communication intended solely for you the recipient who is the appointed 'Agent' of the business trust. This communication is subject to the terms of the trust contract/indenture including the protection of information via the Privacy clause contained in that contract to which you are subject. Violations of the contract will be dealt with as harshly as the agreements and the law allows.

Rudius Capital & Trust KB - Sweden

Business and trust administration

Strategic Capital Services Ltd. - B.V.I.

Private Closed Ended fund.

Not available to the public.

By invitation only to qualified parties.

See Memorandum of Offering issued only to select clients.

Rudius Holdings Group Ltd - Hong Kong

Private Administrator for Business Trusts

Agent/Trustee for SCS Ltd.

Zurich Management Group Inc. - Panama

Private business consulting services and independent representative for Rudius Capital & Trust KB.

This document is a collaborative effort from the above sources, but I put my name to it and I fully stand behind the veracity of this document and it's contents...



Mark Boswell - Atty. - Zurich Management Group Inc.